

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
25 September 2003 (25.09.2003)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 03/077950 A1**

(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: **A61K 47/00**

(21) International Application Number: **PCT/US03/07580**

(22) International Filing Date: **11 March 2003 (11.03.2003)**

(25) Filing Language: **English**

(26) Publication Language: **English**

(30) Priority Data:  
**60/363,661 11 March 2002 (11.03.2002) US**

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **PRODE-MEX, S.A. DE C.V. [MX/MX]; Canal Lateral 18, KM. 8, Carretera Campo 35, Zona Industrial Los Mochis, APDO. Postal 1095, 81364 Los Mochis, Sinaloa C.P. (MX).**

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **RODRIGUEZ, Gustavo [MX/MX]; Rio Presidio, 360 N, Los Mochis, Sinaloa, 81240 Mexico (MX). SCHLOEMER, George [US/US]; 1278 Fox Hill Drive, Longmont, CO 80501 (US). ARTOLA, Victor [MX/MX]; Bahia de Ohuira, 399, Los Mochis, Sinaloa, 81240 Mexico (MX).**

(74) Agent: **ALTMAN, Daniel, E.; Knobbe, Martens, Olson & Bear, LLP, 2040 Main Street, Fourteenth Floor, Irvine, CA 92614 (US).**

(81) Designated States (national): **AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ (utility model), CZ, DE (utility model), DE, DK (utility model), DK, DM, DZ, EC, EF (utility model), EE, ES, FI (utility model), FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK (utility model), SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.**

(84) Designated States (regional): **ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).**

**Declaration under Rule 4.17:**

— of inventorship (Rule 4.17(iv)) for US only

**Published:**

— with international search report  
— before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: **ENHANCED FEEDING AND GROWTH RATES OF AQUATIC ANIMALS FED AN ASTAXANTHIN PRODUCT DERIVED FROM MARIGOLD EXTRACT**

(57) Abstract: The present invention relates to the use of an astaxanthin pigment product obtained by semi-synthesis from marigold extract to substantially enhance the feeding and growth rates of commercially cultivated aquatic species when added to the feed mixture in concentrations useful in producing fish and shrimp coloration. More particularly, this product induces growth rates substantially greater than any currently available feed additive for salmon, trout and shrimp.



**WO 03/077950 A1**

## ENHANCED FEEDING AND GROWTH RATES OF AQUATIC ANIMALS FED AN ASTAXANTHIN PRODUCT DERIVED FROM MARIGOLD EXTRACT

### Related Applications

5        This application claims priority to U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/363,661, filed March 11, 2002, which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

### Background of the Invention

#### Field of the Invention

10        The present invention relates to the use of an astaxanthin pigment product obtained by semi-synthesis from marigold extract to substantially enhance the feeding and growth rates of commercially farmed species such as trout, salmon and shrimp when added to the meal in concentrations useful in producing animal coloration. More particularly, this product induces growth rates substantially greater than any currently available food additive for aquaculture. Growth rates are especially enhanced for salmonid species such as salmon  
15        and trout and for shrimp. The economic impact of the ability to harvest fish and other animals such as shrimp much earlier is very meaningful in commercial aquaculture.

#### Description of the Related Art

20        Aquaculture is an increasingly important area of commerce around the world. Certain aquatic species derive flesh color from natural feeding sources. Such species as salmon, trout, koi, tropical fish, abalone, sea bream and crustaceans such as shrimp and lobster are the most common species which derive this natural pink color, astaxanthin, from the environment. However, when such species are commercially farmed, they no longer have access to this colorant and it is necessary to add it to the feed. It is known that addition of this material to the fish feed enhances the health and lowers the stress on the  
25        fish while also providing the necessary coloring of the flesh (Torrissen, O.J and Christiansen, R., *J. Appl. Ichthyol*, 11, 225 (1995). Until recently, the only commercial sources of astaxanthin product were derived from total synthesis or the fermentation of *Pfaffia rhodozyma* yeast or growing of *Haematococcus* alga.

30        Recently, Breivik et al. (WO 03/003848) reported that the growth of Atlantic salmon was enhanced when the fish were fed an astaxanthin diester in combination with a concentrate comprising two different omega-3 fatty acids, compared to fish fed commercially available synthetic astaxanthin (Carophyll Pink, Roche). It should be noted

that the maximum increase in growth after 15.5 months was only 9% above control. The inventors suggest that the diester form provides better growth rates in salmonid species than free unesterified astaxanthin.

### Summary of the Invention

5 In one aspect, the present invention relates to a method for enhancing feed consumption and growth rates for animal species, where the method includes the step of adding an astaxanthin product obtained from a marigold extract to the feed. Preferably, the animal is an aquatic animal such as a fish or crustacean. In a preferred embodiment, the aquatic animal is chosen from trout, salmon or shrimp.

10 The astaxanthin product is added to the feed in an amount sufficient to produce a concentration of astaxanthin product of between about 10 to 200 ppm. Preferably, the astaxanthin product is added to the feed in an amount sufficient to produce a concentration of astaxanthin product of between about 50 to 120 ppm. For some animals such as shrimp, the astaxanthin product may be added to the feed in an amount sufficient to produce a  
15 concentration of astaxanthin product of between about 20 to 30 ppm.

In some embodiments, the astaxanthin product may be obtained from the marigold extract by a process including the steps of treating the marigold extract with a strong base to isomerize lutein to zeaxanthin; and oxidizing the zeaxanthin to produce the astaxanthin product.

20 Preferably, the astaxanthin product which is added to the feed for enhanced feed consumption and growth rates of the animal species contains greater than 75% R,S. (meso) diastereomeric configuration. More preferably, the feed contains greater than 85% (meso) diastereomeric configuration. In a most preferred embodiment, the astaxanthin product is present in the feed in a concentration greater than 90% R,S (meso) diastereomeric  
25 configuration. Preferably, the animal is an aquatic animal such as a fish or crustacean. More preferably, the aquatic animal is a trout a fish or a shrimp.

In a preferred embodiment, the astaxanthin product which contains the greater than 75%, 85% or 90% R,S (meso) diastereomeric configuration is added to the feed in an amount sufficient to produce a concentration of astaxanthin product of between about 10 to  
30 200 ppm, preferably 50 to 120 ppm. In certain embodiments, such as supplying the astaxanthin product which contains the greater than 75%, 85% or 90% R,S (meso)

diastereomeric configuration to certain animals such as shrimp a concentration of astaxanthin product of between about 20 to 30 ppm is preferred.

Further aspects, features and advantages of this invention will become apparent from the detailed description of the preferred embodiments which follow.

5

#### Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiment

We have demonstrated a new, novel inexpensive source of astaxanthin product from the semi-synthetic conversion of marigold extract (lutein) to astaxanthin (Rodriguez, G.A., U.S. Pat. No. 5,973,211; Rodriguez, G.A., et al, U.S. Pat. No. 6,329,557; Schloemer, G.C. and J. L. Davis U.S. Appl. No. 09/813,685 and Schloemer, G.C., et al, U.S. Pat. No. 6,372,946, all of which are incorporated herein by reference).

#### Preparation of lutein and zeaxanthin from marigold extracts

The preparation of lutein and zeaxanthin is described in U.S. Pat. No. 6,329,557, which is incorporated herein by reference. More specifically, the raw materials for this process include saponified marigold extracts containing 20 g/kg or more of green matter. In one preferred embodiment of the present invention, marigold extracts containing from about 40 to about 150 grams of carotenoids per kilogram and from about 5 to about 20 grams of chlorophyll per kilogram are used as starting materials. Such extracts are produced by Productos Deshidratados de Mexico (PRODEMEX), Los Mochis, Sinaloa, Mexico. The marigold flowers used for making these extracts may be mechanically harvested, having significant amounts of leaves, stems, peduncles and other plant parts rich in chlorophyll and derivatives.

Marigold meals are prepared by dehydrating the machine-harvested flowers. There are several ways of dehydrating, including belt, tray, shelf and drum dryers or sun drying. The dry material is milled and the process is followed by a solvent extraction using a non-polar solvent, such as for example, hexane. The solvent is then removed by evaporation and a marigold oleoresin is obtained. The oleoresin may then be saponified to complete hydrolysis of the xanthophylls and chlorophylls present. Saponification may be accomplished by treatment of the oleoresin with sodium or potassium hydroxide or some other alkali. The saponification conditions are well known to those of ordinary skill in the art.

Free lutein, zeaxanthin and other free xanthophylls are obtained during the saponification reaction, as well as sodium and potassium salts of fatty acids like myristic, palmitic and stearic acids. In addition, the phytyl and methyl groups on the pigment molecules may be substituted with sodium or potassium, depending on the base (e.g.,  
5 NaOH or KOH, respectively) used as the saponifying agent. Water-soluble chlorophyllins may also be produced during saponification.

#### Isomerization of lutein to zeaxanthin

Lutein in the marigold oleoresin obtained above may be converted to zeaxanthin, essentially as taught by U.S. Pat. No. 5,973,211, which is incorporated herein by reference.  
10 Alkali and a glycol solution are added to the lutein-containing residue obtained above. The extract is homogenized in a glycol solution, such as propylene glycol, polyethylene glycol, glycerin, etc., using from 0.1 to 1 parts of glycol to 1 part of extract by weight. A mixture of glycols can also be used. The preferred reaction solvent is propylene glycol. A weight ratio of glycol to extract of 0.2 to 0.5 is also preferred.

15 A metal hydroxide, or a combination of alkaline reagents, is then added and the mixture is heated in a closed reaction vessel under nitrogen. When using a oleoresin extracted from machine harvested marigold flowers, a mixture of sodium hydroxide and potassium hydroxide is the preferred choice of alkaline reagents. Moreover, the amount of alkali required will vary, depending on the substrate and process conditions utilized.  
20 Generally, the total amount of metal hydroxide(s) is in the range of 0.1 to 0.4 parts per part of extract by weight.

The addition of the alkali can be done in two steps. First, enough metal hydroxide is added to achieve saponification of the extract. The quantity needed will depend on the saponification index of the extract which can be measured by a saponification number  
25 determination (adapted from AOAC Official Methods of Analysis, 15th ed., 920.160 (1990)). Generally, the stoichiometric amount is between 8% to 15% of alkali with respect to the weight of the extract.

The temperature used for saponification will depend on the material being saponified, and the saponification step can occur at a temperature lower than that used for  
30 the isomerization reaction which follows. The saponification step preferably occurs at a temperature between about 20° and about 180° C, more preferably between about 25° and 120° C, still more preferably between about 40° and about 100° C.

In a second step, more alkali can be added to effect the isomerization reaction. The amount of alkali required is usually within the range added for the saponification reaction. The alkali can be added in granular form or dispersed in the glycol used for the isomerization reaction.

5       The rate of the addition can vary, and greatly depends on the reactor mechanism for introduction of reactants. Moreover, when reactants are introduced, it is preferable not to disrupt the nitrogen blanket within the reactor by the introduction of air.

      The time of reaction is variable, and largely depends on the actual temperature within the reactor. In general, the reactor is preferably operated between about 25° and  
10   about 180° C, with a reaction temperature from about 50° to about 150° C being more preferred, temperatures between about 60° and about 120° C being still more preferred, and a reaction temperature between about 80° and about 110° C being even more preferred. Generally, the reactions of the present can be conducted using reaction times from about 30 minutes to 5 hours, or until the desired level of isomerization is achieved. The preferred  
15   reaction time is from about 3 to 5 hours. Of course, at higher reaction temperatures, the reaction time is shorter.

      No additional pressure is required to be applied to the reactor when conducting the reactions of the present invention. The reaction pressure within the chamber is about 5 to 15 psi manometric, due mainly to the nitrogen blanket and the vapor pressure of the glycol  
20   solution. Additional pressure can be applied, however, if needed. The novel reactions can also be conducted under vacuum if desired.

      The saponified and isomerized extract is dispersed in water and diluted to a final concentration of between about 0.1 to 30 grams of total xanthophylls per kilogram of the aqueous dispersion, preferably between about 5 to 10 grams per kilogram of aqueous  
25   dispersion as described in U.S. Patent No. 6,329,557, incorporated herein by reference. Preferably, the aqueous dispersion is mixed thoroughly to form a homogeneous mixture.

      The pH of the mixture is then adjusted to between about 1.0 to 7.0, and preferably, between about 5.0 to 6.5, using aqueous solutions of an acid selected from the group consisting of acetic, phosphoric, sulfuric, hydrochloric or any inorganic or organic acid  
30   having similar characteristics. The concentration of the acid solution can be fixed from about 5 to 25% (w/w). The temperature of the mixture should be kept between about 20° to 80° C, and preferably, between about 45° to 70° C. The pH of the mixture is sufficiently

decreased (neutralized) when the aqueous phase, which contains the water-soluble chlorophyllins and other water-soluble impurities, separates readily from the upper oily residue. The lower aqueous phase can be withdrawn using a conventional separatory apparatus. The oily residue contains some residual water-soluble chlorophyllins and the xanthophylls.

Additional water washes maintaining a fixed pH, may be used to reduce the concentration of residual water-soluble green matter from the oily upper phase. For each wash, it is possible to use from between 4 to 20 volumes of water to residue, but preferably, between 8 to 15 volumes of water per volume of residue. The water washings may be pooled for subsequent isolation of the green pigments. Water washing may be continued until the oily residue is essentially free of chlorophylls and related compounds and contains mainly lipids, water and xanthophylls.

The humid oily residue is then extracted with a nonpolar solvent. The solvent may be selected from the group consisting of short chain aliphatic (e.g., hexane) or aromatic hydrocarbons, alkyl-substituted solvents or a mixture thereof. Preferably, the hydrocarbons have between 6 to 8 carbon atoms. For each part of oily residue, between about 1 to 20 parts of nonpolar solvent (w/w) may be used, and preferably, between about 5 to 10 parts (w/w) for each extraction. Preferably, at least two extractions are used. The extractions are done at a temperature of between about -20° and 70° C., preferably between about 15° and 45° C, and more preferably between about 35° and 45° C. The lipids and carotenes, as well as other lipid-soluble substances, are extracted in the nonpolar solvent, which can be separated and pooled.

A solid that precipitates from the nonpolar solvent during the extraction is rich in lutein and zeaxanthin. Residual amounts of chlorophyll may still be present. One or two additional washes with a polar solvent should be sufficient to minimize the level of chlorophyll contamination. The polar solvent may be a ketone, alcohol, amine or any other polar solvent of similar nature. The solvent should be acidified using the same acid that was used for chlorophyllin separation. From 3 to 20 parts of solvent may be used relative to the weight of the residual solid but preferably between 6 to 10 parts. The washes are preferably conducted at ambient temperature. The solvent washes may be collected and the solvent recovered. Solvent is removed and the final solid is dried using conventional methods, preferably conducted under an inert atmosphere.

### Preparation of Astaxanthin from Zeaxanthin

The conversion of zeaxanthin to astaxanthin is carried out essentially as described in U.S. Pat. No. 6,372,946, which is incorporated herein by reference. The zeaxanthin-containing residue obtained above is slurried in chloroform. In one embodiment of the invention, the ratio of zeaxanthin to organic solvent varies from 1:10 to 1:500 depending upon the reaction conditions. Preferably, the ratio of zeaxanthin to the organic solvent is from about 1:10 to about 1:200. The pH is acidic and preferably the pH is 1-3.

To this mixture is added the oxidizing agent which is formed from a solution of sodium bromate to which an aqueous solution of sodium metabisulfite is added dropwise over three hours at temperatures between 20 to 30° C. The ratio of oxidizing agent relative to zeaxanthin can vary between a catalytic amount to 2 molar equivalents. The term "catalytic amount" refers to an amount of the oxidizing agent that is less than the stoichiometric quantity of the zeaxanthin used in the reaction. The term "stoichiometric" refers to the use of an equivalent mole ratio or amount of a reagent relative to a selected substrate, molecule or compound in the reaction.

After addition, the reaction mixture is filtered through Celite and the aqueous phase is separated. The chloroform is removed by vacuum evaporation at 40-42° C. The resulting slightly wet solid is added to warm (50° C) 95% ethanol. The mixture is stirred and cooled slowly to -10° C. The resulting solid is filtered off and dried under vacuum.

### Use of astaxanthin additive in aquaculture

Feeding studies in several locations have indicated remarkable and unexpected enhancement in the feeding and growth rates for fish and other aquatic species fed with similarly prepared meal but with the astaxanthin additive of the present invention compared with other commercially available additives. In fact, we have observed a growth rate enhanced of around 40% which clearly would have major economic benefits for anyone involved in aquaculture.


The ability to grow and harvest fish and other aquatic species such as shrimp faster has major economic benefits for anyone involved in aquaculture. More weight of fish or shrimp per time frame means enhanced use of capital resources and thus lower cost of production. Therefore, any additive that can economically be added to the feed to enhance feeding and growth rates would be highly desirable. We have now derived such an additive which can produce the dual role of fish or shrimp coloration and substantial growth



enhancement in an economical manner. Although the invention is described with reference to salmon, trout, and shrimp, it is understood that the astaxanthin additive described herein may be useful as a feed supplement in many commercially farmed products including, but not limited to, salmon, trout, koi, tropical fish, abalone, shrimp, and sea bream.

5           Astaxanthin additives have been used in the salmon and trout aquaculture industry to produce flesh coloration resulting in a more desirable fish in the marketplace. The cost of obtaining this coloration is substantial and the addition of this material to the feed has a significant economic impact. Recently, we have demonstrated a novel method of obtaining an astaxanthin product by semi-synthesis from lutein which was derived from marigold  
10       extract. The lutein is first converted to zeaxanthin by known technology and finally to astaxanthin product by oxidation (as described above). Various concentrations of astaxanthin in our product have been tested in several studies for flesh coloration. While these studies indicated excellent flesh coloration, they also all indicated remarkably enhanced growth rates for our fish fed our additive versus fish fed the leading commercially  
15       available additive. It was determined that fish fed the commercially available additive would stop eating at a point much earlier than fish fed food containing similar concentrations of our additive. The difference is remarkable and substantial with growth rate enhancements of around 40% observed. Clearly this has major economic benefits in the industry.

20           While it is not known exactly why fish consume substantially more feed containing marigold-derived additive, there are some clear differences in the composition. First, residual sugars and proteins and other substances derived from the marigold flower extract are present in our additive. These may have the effect of enhancing the feeding rates of the fish. Secondly, our astaxanthin product differs from those presently available in that we  
25       have substantially pure R,S-meso diastereomers (>90%) while the synthetic material is a mixture of R,R and S,S and R,S diastereomers. The naturally derived astaxanthin product from algae and from yeast contains predominately the R,R or S,S diastereomer. Therefore, our product is unique in this regard. This also may contribute to the enhanced feeding observed. The additive is derived by the following scheme.

REACTION SCHEME

5

WORKING EXAMPLESExample 1

Rainbow trout of an approximate average weight of 132 g were weighed and placed into fifteen 150 l tanks supplied with 6 l/min untreated, 14.5° C spring water placing 35 fish in each tank. The fish were fed *ad lib* during a period of 15 weeks. Total feed consumed for each treatment was measured. The fish were grouped into five different treatments with three replicates for each. Three of the treatments received feed with inclusion of astaxanthin derived from marigold extract and the other two treatments were used as controls. One of the controls had synthetic astaxanthin added and the other control had no astaxanthin of any source.

Throughout the experiment 9 fish were sacrificed from each replicate of each treatment for growth and pigmentation measurements. Weights, feed and conversion ratios

are summarized in Table 1 for this experiment. Feed Conversion Ratio (F.C.R.) is used in its usual meaning which is defined as the amount of feed added/amount of product obtained.

**TABLE 1**  
5 DATA FOR WEIGHTS, FEED CONSUMED AND FEED CONVERSION RATIOS IN  
EXPERIMENTAL TRIAL WITH RAINBOW TROUT (Weights are in kg)

| Diet          | # of fish at Start | # of fish at end | Total Start Wt. | Total End Wt. | Total Wt Gain | Avg Start Wt. | Avg End Wt. | Avg Wt Gain | Total Feed | F.C.R. |
|---------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|------------|--------|
| Control I     | 105                | 78               | 13.896          | 31.461        | 17.565        | 0.132         | 0.403       | 0.271       | 29.694     | 1.73   |
| Florafil AX-1 | 105                | 78               | 13.941          | 40.839        | 26.901        | 0.133         | 0.524       | 0.391       | 43.569     | 1.62   |
| Florafil AX-2 | 105                | 78               | 14.049          | 40.350        | 26.301        | 0.134         | 0.517       | 0.384       | 41.478     | 1.58   |
| Florafil AX-3 | 105                | 78               | 13.503          | 40.449        | 26.946        | 0.129         | 0.519       | 0.390       | 44.103     | 1.64   |
| Control 2     | 105                | 78               | 14.070          | 30.576        | 16.506        | 0.134         | 0.392       | 0.258       | 29.043     | 1.77   |

In the treatments with astaxanthin derived from marigolds (FLORAFIL-AX™) a weight gain of more than 46% was obtained compared to the controls. Also in the FLORAFIL-AX™ treatments the average end weight was over 500 grams while in the control treatments approximately 400 grams were obtained. The fish in the FLORAFIL-AX™ treatments ate much more consuming over 40 kg of feed compared to less than 30 kg in the controls. The feed conversion ratio was also better in the FLORAFIL-AX™ treatments with an overall average of 1.61 compared to 1.75 in the control treatments.

#### Example 2

A field trial with Rainbow Trout was done to assess pigmentation and growth in a commercial fish farm. The fish were grown in concrete raceways where fish were stocked in two sections of different raceways. In one section we had the control treatment which was fed with feed containing synthetic astaxanthin at 90 ppm. The other raceway had a treatment with feed containing 90 ppm of astaxanthin derived from lutein from marigold extract. The water temperature was constant at 16° C throughout the test as was the flow rate at 60 l/sec. Fish were fed to satiate, feed consumed was weighed for each treatment and

conversion rates calculated based on the initial and final biomass. Table 2 presents the data for this trial.

**TABLE 2**  
GROWTH AND CONVERSION DATA FOR FIELD TRIAL ON RAINBOW TROUT IN  
A COMMERCIAL FISH FARM (Weights are in kg)

| Treatment                    | # of fish at start | Initial Biomass | # of fish at end | Final Biomass | Feed consumed | Conv. Ratio | Average Initial Wt | Average end Wt | Average Wt gain |
|------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Synthetic astaxanthin        | 500                | 74.5            | 459              | 154.6         | 125.2         | 1.56        | 0.149              | 0.337          | 0.188           |
| Marigold Derived astaxanthin | 500                | 76.1            | 467              | 236.7         | 245.0         | 1.52        | 0.152              | 0.507          | 0.355           |

Results clearly show that the fish with feed containing astaxanthin product derived from marigold extract eat much more. It is evident that this feed enhances ingestion and consequently growth as manifested by the body weight. At the end of the feeding trial, the average weight gain was enhanced by 88.8% in the marigold-derived (355 grams) vs. synthetic (188 grams) astaxanthin groups. Conversion ratios were found to be very similar.

#### Example 3

Penaeid shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) with an average initial weight of 0.96 gr were stocked at a density of 25.04 individuals/square meter in four different culture ponds. There were three ponds for each treatment to give a total of 12 ponds. The size of the ponds differed slightly as shown in Table 3 where a summary of the yields obtained after harvesting are also presented. All ponds were fed with the same diets varying only in the type of pigment used and keeping one as a control without pigment addition. Weight of feed supplied to each pond was recorded daily and the feed conversion ratio calculated after harvesting. Culture of the shrimp was done following normal commercial procedures and doing exactly the same operations in all ponds. After harvesting the only treatment that had a higher production compared to the control was the one with marigold derived astaxanthin included in the diet. The treatments with the synthetic astaxanthin and high zeaxanthin had a low survival rate.

**TABLE 3**  
**FIELD TRIAL ON A COMMERCIAL SHRIMP FARM USING SEVERAL**  
**PIGMENT SOURCES TO EVALUATE PRODUCTION**

| FEED   | SURFACE<br>(Hectares) | REARING<br>TIME<br>(Days) | HARVEST       |        |         |                       |
|--|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|
|  |                       |                           | %<br>Survival | Kg     | Kg/Hect | Feed<br>Conv<br>Ratio |
| With Marigold<br>derived astaxanthin<br>(25 ppm) | 15.8                  | 100                       | 75.4          | 35,067 | 2,219   | 1.43                  |
| With pigment high in<br>Zeaxanthin (80 ppm)      | 12.6                  | 96                        | 58.5          | 20,515 | 1,628   | 1.80                  |
| With synthetic<br>astaxanthin (25 ppm)           | 14.6                  | 92                        | 66.5          | 18,729 | 1,283   | 1.62                  |
| Without pigment<br>added                         | 13.7                  | 98                        | 72.8          | 28,125 | 2,053   | 1.50                  |

5           Those skilled in the art will appreciate that numerous changes and modifications may be made to the preferred embodiments of the invention and that such changes and modifications may be made without departing from the spirit of the invention disclosed herein. It is therefore intended that the appended claims cover such equivalent variations as may fall within the true spirit and scope of the invention.

## WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A method for enhancing feed consumption and growth rates for animals, comprising adding an astaxanthin product obtained from a marigold extract to the feed.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the animal is an aquatic animal.
- 5 3. The method of claim 2, wherein the aquatic animal is a fish or crustacean.
4. The method of claim 3, wherein the fish is selected from the group consisting of trout or salmon.
5. The method of claim 3, wherein the crustacean is shrimp.
6. The method of any one of claims 1-5, wherein the astaxanthin product is added  
10 to the feed in an amount sufficient to produce a concentration of astaxanthin product of between about 10 to 200 ppm.
7. The method of claim 6, wherein the astaxanthin product is added to the feed in an amount sufficient to produce a concentration of astaxanthin product of between about 50 to 120 ppm.
- 15 8. The method of claim 5, wherein the astaxanthin product is added to the feed in an amount sufficient to produce a concentration of astaxanthin product of between about 20 to 30 ppm.
9. The method of claim 1, wherein the astaxanthin product is obtained from the marigold extract by a process comprising: treating the marigold extract with a strong base  
20 to isomerize lutein to zeaxanthin; and oxidizing the zeaxanthin to produce the astaxanthin product.
10. A method for enhancing feed consumption and growth rates for animal species, comprising adding an astaxanthin product to the feed, wherein the astaxanthin product comprises greater than 90% R,S (meso) diastereomeric configuration.
- 25 11. The method of claim 10, wherein the animal is an aquatic animal.
12. The method of claim 11, wherein the aquatic animal is a fish or crustacean.
13. The method of claim 12, wherein the fish is selected from the group consisting of trout or salmon.
14. The method of claim 12, wherein the crustacean is shrimp.
- 30 15. The method of any one of claims 10-14, wherein the astaxanthin product is added to the feed in an amount sufficient to produce a concentration of astaxanthin product of between about 10 to 200 ppm.

16. The method of claim 15, wherein the astaxanthin product is added to the feed in an amount sufficient to produce a concentration of astaxanthin product of between about 50 to 120 ppm.

5 17. The method of claim 14, wherein the astaxanthin product is added to the feed in an amount sufficient to produce a concentration of astaxanthin product of between about 20 to 30 ppm.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US03/07580

**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER**IPC(7) : A 61K 47/00  
US CL : 424/400, 439, 442

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

**B. FIELDS SEARCHED**Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
U.S. : 424/400, 439, 442

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  
USPatents, USPG-Pub, Derwent, JPO, EPO**C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

| Category *  | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages | Relevant to claim No. |
|-------------|--|-----------------------|
| X<br>—<br>Y | US 5,744,502 (LIGNELL et al) 28 April 1998 (28.04.1998), columns 2-4.              | 1<br>—<br>6-8         |



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

## \* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T"

later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X"

document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y"

document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&amp;"

document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

10 June 2003 (10.06.2003)

Date of mailing of the international search report

07 AUG 2003

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. (703)305-3230

Authorized officer

Charesse L. Evans

Telephone No. 703-308-1235

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (July 1998)